

REMARKS

This is filed in response to the Office Action mailed April 13, 2005, citing objection to pending claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶2 and rejecting that claim as allegedly obvious over Haley, US 4,770,841. Claim 1 is also rejected on grounds of double patenting vis-a-vis the US 6,788,980, which is the parent hereof.

Claim 1 is amended to remove all grounds for objection under 35 U.S.C. 112. Specifically, the objected-to "improvement" language is removed.

That claim is further amended to more distinctly point out aspects of the disclosed control device that the Applicant believes is inventive. As amended, the claim recites *inter alia* a control device comprising a processor that executes a control algorithm; a web server that facilitates configuration, monitoring and/or maintenance of a control system and/or other control devices; and a sensor or actuator for a process controlled by that control system. The amendment is supported in the application as filed, as well as in the parent thereof.

In view of the foregoing amendment, the double patenting rejection should be removed.

The rejection over Haley should also be removed. That publication fails to teach or suggest *inter alia* a control device comprising a processor that executes a control algorithm; a web server that facilitates configuration, monitoring and/or maintenance of a control system and/or other control devices; and a sensor or actuator for a process controlled by that control system.

New claims 229 - 244 recite further features of the control device of claim 1, as well as reciting further related aspects of the invention. Support for these claims is provided in the application as filed. See, for example, claims 1, 80 - 120 and 220 - 228 of the parent application, and the corresponding text of the specification and drawings hereof. For the reasons above, among others, Haley is considered to fail to teach or suggest the subject matter of claims 229 - 244.

This responds in full to the Office Action mailed April 13, 2005. The claims are amended to remove all grounds for objection and rejection, thereby, placing this application in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 13, 2005


David J. Powsner

Registration No.: 31,868

NUTTER MCLENNEN & FISH LLP
World Trade Center West
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2604
(617) 439-2000
(617) 310-9000 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant